Case 3:13-cr-00041-RS Document 4 Filed 01/23/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 13 - 041 RS
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
v. △Ifredo Contrevas Defendant.	JAN 23 2013
Defendant.) RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Speedy Trial Act from <u>Jew 23</u> , 2013 to by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	d on <u>Jav 23</u> , 2013, the Court excludes time under the <u>Jav 28</u> , 2013 and finds that the ends of justice served the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § d bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	uld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to e	olex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance wo taking into account the exercise o	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given symmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 1 23 2	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney